IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Michael Graham,

No. CV-17-04806-PHX-DMF

ORDER

v.

12 Continental Bancorp, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

ar Bancorp, c

Pending before the Court is Magistrate Judge David K. Duncan's¹ Report and Recommendation recommending that this matter be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to serve Defendants pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Doc. 11.) To date, no objection has been filed.

After considering the Report and Recommendation, the Court will grant Magistrate Judge Duncan's recommendation.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have fourteen days from the service of a copy of the Magistrate's recommendation within which to file specific written objections to the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's recommendation relieves the Court of conducting *de novo*

On June 25, 2018, this case was reassigned to Magistrate Judge Deborah Fine.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

review of the Magistrate Judge's factual findings and waives all objections to those findings on appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's conclusion "is a factor to be weighed in considering the propriety of finding waiver of an issue on appeal." Id.

DISCUSSION²

Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and no Objections having been made by any party thereto, the Court hereby incorporates and adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED adopting the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (Doc. 11.)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED dismissing this matter without prejudice based upon Plaintiff's failure to serve Defendants pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated this 29th day of June, 2018.

Honorable Stephen M. McNamee Senior United States District Judge

The procedural history of this case is set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 11.)